CS 70 Discrete Mathematics and Probability Theory Summer 2016 Dinh, Psomas, Ye Discussion 6A

Recall unlike Markov and Chebyshev's inequalities, Chernoff Bounds are a family of bounds. A few (of the many bounds are shown below):

1 For any $\delta > 0$:

$$\Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\mu}$$

2 For any $1 > \delta > 0$:

$$\Pr[X \le (1 - \delta)\mu] \le \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\delta}}{(1 - \delta)^{(1 - \delta)}}\right)^{\mu}$$

3 For any $1 > \delta > 0$:

$$\Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\mu\delta^2}{3}}$$

4 For any $1 > \delta > 0$:

 $\Pr[X \le (1 - \delta)\mu] \le \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\mu\delta^2}{2}}$

5 For $R > 6\mu$:

$$\Pr[X \ge R] \le 2^{-R}$$

1. Comparing Bounds

Compare and contrast all of the bounds that we have gone over in CS 70. In other words discuss the conditions when each bound can be used, as well as the strengths and weaknesses of each bound.

1 Markov

2 Chebyshev

3 Chernoff

2. Showing off Chernoff

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent Bernoulli random variables that each take value 1 with probability p and 0 with probability 1 - p. You have learned how to use Chebyshev's inequality to say things about the probability that the sum $S = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ deviates from its mean (pn). In this question you will derive another bound called Chernoff's inequality that is much stronger in most cases.

(a) As an example to help you understand the setting better, assume that X_i is the outcome of a coin flip (that is $X_i = 1$ if the coin flip results in heads and otherwise $X_i = 0$). Then p = 1/2 and S is the number of heads you observe. Assume that n = 100 is the number of coin flips. The expected number of heads you see is pn = 50. The exact probability that $S \ge 80$ is $5.5795 \cdot 10^{-10}$. Now using Chebyshev's inequality find an upper bound for this probability. Is your upper bound much larger than the value you computed?

(b) Back to the general setting, prove that if $f : \{0,1\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is any function, then $f(X_1), \ldots, f(X_n)$ are independent. Hint: write down the definition of independence. If f takes the same value at 0 and 1 then everything should be obvious. It remains to prove it in the case where $f(0) \neq f(1)$.

(c) Now if we fix a number t and let $f(x) = e^{tx}$, then $f(X_i) = e^{tX_i}$. Compute the expected value of $f(X_i) = e^{tX_i}$ and write it in terms of p and t.

(d) The following is a famous inequality about real numbers: $1 + x \le e^x$. Another variant of the inequality (which can be derived by replacing x by x - 1) is the following: $x \le e^{x-1}$. Apply the latter inequality with x being the expected value you computed in the previous step in order to get an upper bound on $E[f(X_i)]$. (You don't need to prove either of these inequalities.)

(e) Remembering that $f(X_1), \ldots, f(X_n)$ are all independent what is $E[f(X_1)f(X_2)\ldots f(X_n)]$ in terms of $E[f(X_1)], \ldots, E[f(X_n)]$? Use the upper bound you got from the previous step to get an upper bound on

 $E[f(X_1)f(X_2)\dots f(X_n)]$. You should be able to express your answer in terms of p, n, and t. Now let $\mu = pn$ be the expected value of S. Re-express your upper bound in terms of μ and t (i.e. remove the occurrences of p and n and rewrite them in terms of μ).

(f) Observe that $f(X_1) \dots f(X_n) = e^{t(X_1 + \dots + X_n)} = e^{tS}$. Let us call e^{tS} the random variable *Y*. Does it always take positive values? Let's say we are interested in bounding the probability that $S \ge (1 + \alpha)\mu$ where α is a non-negative number. Prove that $S \ge (1 + \alpha)\mu$ is the same event as $Y \ge e^{t\mu(1+\alpha)}$. Use Markov's inequality on the latter event to derive an upper bound for $\Pr[S \ge (1 + \alpha)\mu]$ in terms of μ , *t*, and α .

(g) For different values of t you get different upper bounds for the probability that $S \ge (1 + \alpha)\mu$. But of course all of them are giving you an upper bound on the same quantity. Therefore it is wiser to pick a t that minimizes the upper bound. This way you get the tightest upper bound you can using this method. Assuming that α is fixed, find the value t that minimizes your upper bound. For this value of t what is the actual upper bound? Your answer should only depend on α and μ . Hint: in order to minimize a positive expression you can instead minimize its ln. Then you can use familiar methods from calculus in order to minimize the expression.

(h) Here we want to compare Chernoff's bound and the bound you can get from Chebyshev's inequality. Assume for simplicity that p = 1/2, so $\mu = n/2$.

First compute Chernoff's bound for the probability of seeing at least 80 heads in 100 coin flips (the quantity you bounded in the first part). Compare your answer to that part and see which one is closer to the actual value.

Now back to the setting with general *n* and α , write down the Chernoff bound as c^n where *c* is an expression that only contains α and not *n*. This shows that for a fixed value of α , Chernoff's bound decays exponentially in *n*. Now write down Chebyshev's inequality to bound $\Pr[|S - \mu| \ge \alpha \mu]$. Show that this is also a bound on $\Pr[S \ge (1 + \alpha)\mu]$. Write down this bound as γn^{β} where γ and β are some numbers that do not depend on *n*. This shows that Chebyshev's inequality decays like n^{β} . In general an exponential decay (which you get from Chernoff's) is much faster than a polynomial decay (the one you get from Chebyshev's).